

OPEN ACCESS



The Journal of Threatened Taxa (JoTT) is dedicated to building evidence for conservation globally by publishing peer-reviewed articles online every month at a reasonably rapid rate at [www.threatenedtaxa.org](http://www.threatenedtaxa.org). All articles published in JoTT are registered under [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of articles in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

# Journal of Threatened Taxa

Building evidence for conservation globally

[www.threatenedtaxa.org](http://www.threatenedtaxa.org)

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)


## COMMUNICATION

### MANTIDS (INSECTA: MANTODEA) OF UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA

Ramesh Singh Yadav & G.P. Painkra

26 May 2021 | Vol. 13 | No. 6 | Pages: 18578–18587

DOI: 10.11609/jott.5958.13.6.18578-18587



For Focus, Scope, Aims, and Policies, visit [https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/aims\\_scope](https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/aims_scope)

For Article Submission Guidelines, visit <https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/about/submissions>

For Policies against Scientific Misconduct, visit [https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/policies\\_various](https://threatenedtaxa.org/index.php/JoTT/policies_various)

For reprints, contact <[ravi@threatenedtaxa.org](mailto:ravi@threatenedtaxa.org)>

The opinions expressed by the authors do not reflect the views of the Journal of Threatened Taxa, Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society, Zoo Outreach Organization, or any of the partners. The journal, the publisher, the host, and the partners are not responsible for the accuracy of the political boundaries shown in the maps by the authors.

Member



Publisher & Host





## Mantids (Insecta: Mantodea) of Uttar Pradesh, India

Ramesh Singh Yadav<sup>1</sup> & G.P. Painkra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Government School Dehariya, Zamania, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh 232331, India.

<sup>2</sup>Raj Mohini Devi College of Agriculture & Research Station, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, 497001, India.

<sup>1</sup>ramesh\_bhu@rediffmail.com (corresponding author), <sup>2</sup>gppainkrarmd@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Several surveys of mantid fauna from eastern Uttar Pradesh, India were carried out during the period 2017–2019. The collected materials include 21 species of 17 genera from six families and nine subfamilies. The Family Mantidae contributed the highest numbers of mantid fauna. In total, 10 species of mantis are reported for the first time from the present Uttar Pradesh (after division of the state) while *Tenodera costalis* (Blanchard, 1853) is recorded for the first time from the country.

**Keywords:** Insecta, Mantidae, new country record.

**Hindi:** सन 2017 से 2019 की अवधि के दौरान पूर्वी उत्तर प्रदेश, भारत में मेंटिड जीवों के लिए कई सर्वेक्षण किए गए । सर्वेक्षण के दौरान एकत्रित सामग्रियों में छः कुलों और नौ उपकुलों से 17 वंशों की कुल 21 प्रजातियां प्राप्त हुई । मेंटिडी कुल में सबसे अधिक संख्या में मेंटिड जोवों ने योगदान दिया। कुल मिलाकर, वर्तमान उत्तर-प्रदेश (राज्य के विभाजन के बाद) राज्य से पहली बार मेंटिस की 10 नई प्रजातियां रिकॉर्ड की गई हैं, जबकि टेनोडेरा कोस्टेलिस (ब्लॉन्कार्ड, 1853) देश में पहली बार उत्तर-प्रदेश से दर्ज की गई है।

**Editor:** Anonymity requested.

**Date of publication:** 26 May 2021 (online & print)

**Citation:** Yadav, R.S. & G.P. Painkra (2021). Mantids (Insecta: Mantodea) of Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 13(6): 18578–18587. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.5958.13.6.18578-18587>

**Copyright:** © Yadav & Painkra 2021. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

**Funding:** None.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Author details:** RAMESH SINGH YADAV is an independent researcher cum educator in the Government School Dehariya, Zamania, Ghazipur, U.P., India. Presently he involved in teaching as well as research too. He actively participate in collaborative work for wildlife from different states along with various other community services. He has published more than 20 articles in various journals of national and international repute with several new records and authored five books on entomology/zoology. His areas of research interest include ecology and systematic zoology. G.P. PAINKRA is a senior scientist of Entomology, Department of Entomology at IGKV-RMD College of Agriculture and Research Station, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India. He advised 10 MSc and one PhD students. He recognized as a loving scientist in farmer community due to his excellent research in apiculture. He published two books and 79 research papers in reputed journals.

**Author contributions:** RSY involved in survey, fauna collection, captured photographs and identification as well as write up of the manuscript. GPP participated in design of the research, supervision of research activities and overview the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements:** The first author wishes to extend his gratitude to Dr. H.V. Ghate, former head, Department of Zoology, Modern College, Shivajinagar, Pune and Dr. T.K. Mukherjee, associate professor (retired), Presidency University, Kolkata for identification of certain mantid species.





## INTRODUCTION

Mantids are one of the important groups of predatory insects of different agroecosystems. Mantids are also called preying mantids due to their preying ability. They are sometime confused with the mantisflies of the order Neuroptera. Mantids are grouped in the superorder Dictyoptera because of their more closeness to cockroaches and termites while forelegs of mantids are raptorial type which is not identical to the forelegs of cockroaches and termites. Globally, 2,384 species under 434 genera of 15 families are known (Ehrmann & Roy 2002) while Otte et al (2020) listed 2,400 species from 430 genera; in which more than 169 species, 71 genera, and 11 families are known from India of which 60 species are endemic to the country (Mukherjee et al. 2014). Mantids are a key agent of natural and biological control in most of the terrestrial ecosystems due to their sophisticated behaviour and modifications. They are easily identified by their raptorial forelegs, triangular head, visible eyes, and large cerci.

Probably, first taxonomic work on mantids was initiated by Linnaeus (1758) while in India, remarkable contribution to mantids came in light when the Wood-Mason joined as director of Indian Museum, Kolkata (Yadav 2017) and published a Catalogue of the Mantodea of Indian Museum collections by Wood-Mason (1889 & 1891). Subsequently Bolivar (1899) and Werner (1931, 1933 & 1935) contributed to the Indian mantids. Kirby (1904) has created a synonymic catalogue of the 82 species of mantids from India whereas, Nadkerni (1965) amassed 55 more mantids. Some notable works on the taxonomy and distribution of mantids were done by Mukherjee & Hazra (1983), Mukherjee et al. (1992, 1995, 2014, 2015, 2017), Mukherjee & Shisodia (1999 & 2000), Chaturvedi & Hedge (2000), Rao et al. (2005), Sureshan et al. (2006), Jadhav et al. (2006), Mukherjee & Hazra (2007), Vyjayandi (2007), Jadhav (2008), Chandra (2009), Sureshan (2009), Sureshan & Sambath (2009), Mukherjee & Ghate (2010), Vyjayandi et al. (2010), Ghate et al. (2012), and Chatterjee & Mukherjee (2013). The most remarkable work on Indian mantids was presented by Mukherjee et al. (1995) which was more consolidated account on this group till date. Uttar Pradesh has remained less studied for Mantodea. More recently Yadav et al (2018) have recorded some mantids from this state. More diversity of the mantids remain unexplored. Therefore, we explored the mantid fauna extensively from Uttar Pradesh after the division of Uttarakhand.

**Table 1. Coordinates of the survey sites.**

	Site	Coordinates
1	Karmahari, Ghazipur	25.3514°N & 82.6481°E
2	Chahaniya, Chandauli	25.4189°N & 83.2118°E
3	Chakka bandh Dam, Ghazipur	25.4204°N & 83.5574°E
4	Rohuna, Ghazipur	25.4159°N & 83.5598°E
5	Maujhi, Chandauli	25.4255°N & 83.5580°E
6	Mohammadabad, Ghazipur	25.6168°N & 83.7531°E
7	Dehariya, Ghazipur	25.2991°N & 83.6562°E

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present exploration was made from a series of surveys of the several locations in Uttar Pradesh, India from 2017 to 2019. The study sites were located in different areas of eastern Uttar Pradesh (Table 1). The mantids were collected by sweeping net, light trap, and hand-picking methods. After photography, most of the mantids were released to avoid the loss of biodiversity issue. The collections were made during morning and night hours, i.e., 07.00–10.00 h and 20.00–22.00 h, respectively. Some of the collected materials were killed in the killing bottle containing ethyle acetate. Subsequently, materials were cleaned with hair brush, pinned and relaxed (as per need) on the handmade stretching board of thermocol. It was kept for more than 60–72 hours for drying to avoid spoilage of the specimens. The identification was made based on the morphological and genitalic features. The specimens collected were deposited in the Department of Agricultural Entomology, R.M.D. College and Research Station, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh, India. The classifications were made according to Mukherjee et al. (2014). The coordinates of the survey sites are presented in Table 1.

## RESULTS

Biodiversity of surveyed mantids from Uttar Pradesh are presented here in figure 1 and their checklist is as below.

### Systematic checklist of the mantids studied from Uttar Pradesh

#### Systematic account

**Class:** Insecta

**Order:** Mantodea Latreille, 1802

**A. Family:** Hymenopodidae Giglio-Tos, 1915

**Subfamily:** Acromantinae Giglio-Tos, 1915

**Tribe:** Anaxarchini Giglio-Tos, 1919

1. *Euantissa pulchra* Fabricius, 1787\*

2. *Odontomantis montana* Giglio-Tos, 1915\*

**Tribe: Hymenopodini Giglio-Tos, 1915**

3. *Creobroter apicalis* Saussure, 1869\*

**Tribe: Acromantini Brunner De Wattenwyl, 1893**

4. *Ephestiasula pictipes* (Wood-Mason, 1879)\*\*

5. *Hestiasula brunneriana* Saussure, 1871\*

**B. Family: Liturgusidae Giglio-Tos, 1915**

**Subfamily: Listurgusinae Giglio-Tos, 1915**

**Tribe: Humbertiellini Brunner De Wattenwyl, 1893**

6. *Humbertiella ceylonica* Saussure, 1869

7. *Humbertiella similis* Giglio-Tos, 1917

**C. Family: Tarachodidae Handlirsch, 1930**

**Subfamily: Schizocephalinae Saussure, 1869**

8. *Schizocephala bicornis* (Linné, 1758)\*\*

**D. Family: Mantidae Latreille, 1802**

**Subfamily: Amelinae Westwood, 1889**

9. *Amantis saussurei* (Bolivar, 1897)\*

10. *Elmantis trincomaliae* (Saussure, 1869)\*

**Subfamily: Tenoderinae Brunner De Wattenwyl, 1893**

11. *Tenodera fasciata* (Oliver, 1792)\*

12. *Tenodera costalis* (Blanchard, 1853) ¶

13. *Hierodula coarctata* Saussure, 1869

**Subfamily: Mantinae Burmeister, 1838**

14. *Mantis religiosa religiosa* Linnaeus, 1758\*\*

15. *Statilia maculata continentalis* Werner, 1935

16. *Statilia nemoralis* (Saussure, 1870)

**E. Family: Toxoderidae Saussure, 1869**

**Subfamily: Oxyothespinae Giglio-Tos, 1916**

17. *Cheddikulama straminea* Henry, 1932\*\*

**Subfamily: Toxoderinae Saussure, 1869**

18. *Aethalochroa ashmoliana* (Westwood, 1841)\*

19. *Toxoderopsis taurus* Wood-mason, 1889\*

**F. Family Empusidae Burmeister, 1838;**

**Subfamily Empusinae Burmeister, 1838**

20. *Empusa (Empusa) guttula* (Thunberg, 1815)

21. *Empusa spinosa* Krauss, 1902 \*

**Note:** Asterisk marks (\*), (\*\*), and (¶) indications are indicated as species firstly recorded from Uttar Pradesh, probably earlier recorded from Uttar Pradesh and species first time recorded from country respectively.


**Family: Hymenopodidae Giglio-Tos, 1915**

**Subfamily: Hymenopodinae, Giglio-Tos, 1915**

**Tribe: Anaxarchini Giglio-Tos, 1919**

***Euantissa pulchra* Fabricius, 1787 (Image 1)**

1927. *Euantissa pulchra* Giglio-Tos, Das Tierreich 50



**Figure 1. Biodiversity of mantids of Uttar Pradesh. Relative abundance (in percentage)**

:541.

2002. *Euantissa pulchra* Ehrmann, Mantodea der Welt 244.

2014. *Euantissa pulchra* Mukherjee et al. *Priamus* 30: 7.  
**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 001-002, 1 male, 1 female, 21.x.2017, Udharanpur (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India; on *Thevetia peruviana*, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Vertex without protuberance; eyes oblong; pronotum broad, fore femur simple with four sharp-long external and four discoidal spines; mid and hind legs have without lobes; wings brightly coloured, longer than abdomen, with dark line along the outer border (Vyjayandi 2007).

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), China, and Sri Lanka (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 25mm

**Remark:** The specie is more common in bushes but not frequent in my collection.

***Odontomantis montana* Giglio-Tos, 1915 (Image 2 & 3)**

1915. *Odontomantis montana* Giglio-Tos. Bull. Soc. Ent. Ital. 46: 100.

2002. *Odontomantis montana* Ehrmann. Mantodea der Welt 244.

2014. *Odontomantis montana* Mukherjee et al. *Priamus* 30: 8.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 003, 1female, 09.ix.2017, Chakka bandh Dam (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India; on broad leaved shrub, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Vertex without protuberance; frontal sclerite narrowed in the form of a groove, superior border wavy, a little arched in the middle; inferior border with a slightly elevated ridge; margin of pronotum bearing small tubercular spines. In forelegs external spines of femora blackish near their tips and proximal two of them closer, tibiae with 10



internal spines of same colour (Sureshan et al. 2006).

**Distribution:** India (Odisha & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Malayasia, and Sumatra (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Immature mantid (nymph)

**Remark:** The species was rare in the collection.

#### Tribe: Hymenopodini Giglio-Tos, 1915

##### *Creobroter apicalis* Saussure, 1869 (Image 4)

1869. *Creobroter apicalis* Saussure Mill. Schweiz. Entomol. Ges., 3: 73.

1927. *Creobroter apicalis* Giglio-Tos Das Tierreich., 50: 558.

2014. *Creobroter apicalis*: Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 9.

2017. *Creobroter apicalis* Mukherjee, Iyer & Chatterjee J. Threat. Taxa, 9(2): 9832.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 004-005, 2 female, 16.x.2017, Udharanpur (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India; on *Thevetia peruviana*, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis characters:** Female: Body medium to large, body greenish with yellow spot on the fore wing; winged forms; head trapezoidal, vertex of head with a small mid dorsal spine, squarish with prominent lateral lobes; eyes conical, black band with white tips, projecting upwards; antennae slender, filiform; ocelli conspicuous; pronotum saddle shape, shorter than fore coxae, supra coxal dialation well pronounced, prozona laterally denticulated, spatulate with central indistinct carina, metazonal constriction well pronounced; Coxae of fore legs triangular dorsally with eight obtuse marginal spines, middorsal carina with spines, internal apical lobes converging; femur longer than coxa, with four external, four discoidal, (third longest and stoutest,) with six longer internal and seven shorter internal spines, two distal longer internal spines enclose two shorter spines; tibia with 16 smaller, depressed external spines, 14 longer internal closely arranged spines; metatarsus as long as all other tarsal segments together; middle and hind femur twice as long as mid tibia, with semi-circular, distal ventral lobe, with two genicular spines; tibia with three distal genicular spines; wings longer than abdomen; costal and anal areas of forewing transparent, forewing with yellow band bordered by two black semi-circular rings like an eye spot and placed in the middle of the both wings, this eye mark enclosed black dots, usually two; base of forewing with a yellow spot also, the hind wing with pink at base, yellowish in costal area and brownish in discoidal and anal areas.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Odisha, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Bangladesh, China,

Java, Nepal, and Bhutan (Mukherjee et al. 2014, 2017).

**Measurement:** Body length 34mm

**Remark:** The species was very attractive and colourful.

#### Tribe: Acromantini Runner De Wattenwyl, 1893

##### *Ephestiasula pictipes* (Wood-Mason, 1879) (Image 5)

1879. *Hestias pitipes* Wood-Mason, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal: 258.

1951. *Ephestiasula pictipes* Roonwal & Bhasin, Indian Forester, 77(5): 313-318.

1995. *Ephestiasula pictipes* Mukherjee et al., Oriental Insects 29(1): 217.

2014. *Ephestiasula pictipes* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 12.

2015. *Ephestiasula pictipes* Majumder et al. Zool. Surv. India, 115(4): 368

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 006, 1 male, 23.ix.2017, Karmahari (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India; on bushes, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** External edge of fore femur denticulated, internal face of forefemur spines black, inferior internal lobes contain three yellow to white spots encased by black patch; black patch absents at upper border and very thin below near base; tarsi internally black; pronotum dorso-medially black,

**Distribution:** India (Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh (earlier record from Dehradun, Uttarakhand) (Mukherjee et al. 1995, 2014), Uttar Pradesh, (new record)) and Nepal.

**Measurement:** Body length 34mm

**Remark:** The species was brown in colour.

##### *Hestiasula brunneriana* Saussure, 1871 (Image 6 & 7)

1871. *Hestiasula brunneriana* Saussure, Mem. Soc. Phys. Hist. Nat. Geneve, 21: 330.

2001. *Hestiasula brunneriana* Ghate, Ranade, Kaur and Marathe, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 98 (3): 473-476.

2007. *Hestiasula brunneriana* Vyjayandi. Zool. Surv. India, Occ. Paper .267: 39.

2014. *Hestiasula brunneriana* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 12.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 007-009, 1 male, 2 female, 09.ix.2017, Chakka bandh Dam (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India, on white colour flowering bushes, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Vertex with protuberance; fore femur arched, foliaceous, external edge smooth with three black spots on superior margin and one near the spine in middle Mukherjee et al. 1995; Ghate et al. 2001; Vyjayandi 2007).

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra,

Meghalaya, Odisha, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (New record)), Pakistan, and Sri Lanka (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 29mm

**Remark:** Inner side of fore femur is orange in colour and ornamented with three inner black spots.

**Family:** Liturgusidae Giglio-Tos, 1915; **Subfamily:** Listurgusinae Giglio-Tos, 1915

***Humbertiella ceylonica* Saussure, 1869 (Image 8)**

1869. *Humbertiella ceylonica* Saussure Mitt. Schweiz. Entomol. Ges., 3:62.

1891. *Theopompa sepestrionum* Wood-Mason. A catalogue of Mantblac 2:61.

2007. *Humbertiella ceylonica* Vjayandi. Zool. Surv. India, Occ. Paper .267: 73.

2014. *Humbertiella ceylonica* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 14.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0010-012, 3 female, 09.vi.2017, Zamania (Ghazipur) Uttar Pradesh, India, on light trap, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Small black species with triangular head having five lobes in vertex and blackish frontal sclerite along with continuous black band; pronotum short and rhomboid in shape; fore femur slightly bulged, externally 4 discoidal and internally 5 large (completely black) and 5 short spines with three blackish band on inner side of femur as well as on tibia black; anal vein of fore wing three branched in female.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Myanmar, Nepal, and Sri Lanka (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 34mm

**Remark:** Wood coloured black species.

***Humbertiella similis* Giglio-Tos, 1917 (Image 9)**

1897. *Humbertiella indica* Bolivar Ann. Soc. Entolnol. France, 66: 303.

2007. *Humbertiella similis* Vjayandi. Zool. Surv. India, Occ. Paper .267: 70

1917. *Humbertiella similis* Giglio-tos Bull. Soc. Entomol. Ital., 48: 83.

2014. *Humbertiella similis* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 15.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 013-015, 1 male, 2 female, 23.vi.2018, Chahaniya, (Chandauli), Uttar Pradesh, India, on light trap, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Small brown species with frontal sclerite brown with less arched superior edges; pronotum with less prominent protuberances; in fore femur internal spines

black at tips only. Irregular veinules in the costal area of fore wing, wings smoky and longer than body.

**Distribution:** India (Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, & Uttar Pradesh), Nepal, and Sri Lanka (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 37mm

**Remark:** Brown coloured species.

**Family:** Tarachodidae Handlirsch, 1930; **Subfamily:** Schizocephalinae Saussure, 1869

***Schizocephala bicornis* (Linné, 1758) (Image 10&11)**

1927. *Schizocephala bicornis* Giglio-Tos, Das Tierreich, 50: 237.

2007. *Schizocephala bicornis* Vjayandi. Zool. Surv. India, Occ. Paper .267: 121.

2014. *Schizocephala bicornis* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 16.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0016, 1 female, 07.vii.2018, Dehariya (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on grasses, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Long and slender mantid, green coloured. Antenna thickened toward base; eyes anteriorly prolonged forming cone shape. Fore femur with four external and three discoidal in which second is longest one; tibia shortened with six external spines. Forewing very small and opaque in female (Majumder et al. 2015).

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Chhattisgarh (Bilaspur Korba, Raipur, Koriya), Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Africa, Nepal, Thailand, Sri Lanka, and Sunda Island.

**Measurement:** Body length 145mm

**Remark:** Largest mantid in the collection looks like a stick insect.

**Family:** Mantidae Latreille, 1802, **Subfamily:** Amelinae Westwood, 1889

***Amantis saussurei* (Bolivar, 1897) (Image 12)**

1927. *Amantis saussurei* Giglio-Tos, Das Tierreich, 50: 171.

2014. *Amantis saussurei* Mukherjee et al. Priamus, 30: 25.

2015. *Amantis saussurei* Majumder et al. Zool. Surv. India, 115(4): 376.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0017-0018, 2 female, 24.iii.2018, Maujhi (Chandauli), Uttar Pradesh, India, on grasses, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Small in size, brown coloured mantis similar to bark with scattered black spots on vertex, frontal sclerite with two black spots; pronotum with black line. First tarsal



segment brown. Stigma with black spot at either ends (Majumder et al. 2015).

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)).

**Measurement:** Body length 13mm

**Remark:** Smaller one mantid.

***Elmantis trincomaliae* (Saussure, 1869)**

1869. *Gonypteta trincomaliae* Saussure, Mitt. Schweiz. Entomol. Ges., 3: 63-64.

1915a. *Elmantis trincomaliae* Giglio-Tos, Bull. Soc. Entomol. Ital., 46: 161.

2014. *Elmantis trincomaliae* Mukherjee et al. Priamus, 30: 25.

2015. *Elmantis trincomaliae* Majumder et al. Zool. Surv. India, 115(4): 377.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0019, 1 male, 24.III.2018, Zamania (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on stones, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Small brownish insect. In foreleg, proximal two external spines close beset; foretibia with 10 external spines. Hind metatarsus longer than rest segments together (Majumder et al. 2015).

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh (Bastar), Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)) and Sri Lanka.

**Measurement:** Body length 14 mm

**Remark:** Smaller one mantid.

***Tenodera fasciata* (Oliver, 1792) (Image 13)**

1912. *Tenodera fasciata* Giglio-Tos. Bull. Soc. Entomol. Ital., 43: 45.

2009. *Tenodera fasciata* Sureshan, Rec. Zool. Sur. India. Occasional Paper No. 305: 37.

2007. *Tenodera fasciata* Mandal et al. Zoos' Print Journal 22(6): 2719.

2014. *Tenodera fasciata* Mukherjee et al. Priamus, 30: 30.

2017. *Tenodera fasciata* Raut & Gaikwad, J. Threat. Taxa, 9(6): 10351–10354

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0020, 1 male, 10.iv.2018, Zamania (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on grasses, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body slender, much elongated; antenna very much elongated, pronotum nearly equal or longer than fore coxae. Fore femora with four discoidal and four external spines, laterally smooth; 1<sup>st</sup> discoidal spines shorter than 2<sup>nd</sup>. Both wings well developed; hind femora with apical spine; anal cerci conical and hind wing with reddish transverse veinlets in costal area (Raut & Gaikwad 2017).

**Distribution:** India (Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Maharashtra, Manipur, Meghalaya, Odisha, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Borneo, China, Flores, Java, Malaysia, Moluccas, Myanmar, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Sumba, Sulawesi, Talaud Islands, and Thailand.

**Measurement:** Body length 87mm

**Remark:** Larger one mantid.

***Tenodera costalis* (Blanchard, 1853) (Image 14)**

1853. *Mantis costalis* Blanchard, Voy. Pole Sud, Zool. Iv(l): 353

1904. *Tenodera costalis* Kirby, Syn. Cat. Orth. 1: 238.

1927. *Tenodera costalis* Giglio-Tos, Orthoptera. Mantidae. Das Tierreich/animal kingdom, 414.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0021-0022, 1 male, 1 female, 10.iv.2018, Karmhari (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on grasses, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body slender, soft green in colour, much elongated; frontal sclerite much wider, rather a double curved edge on the upper side; antennae medium-long, brownish to body colored; Fore coxae smooth or partial spines in male, In female finely denticulate, the apex of the femur spines black; fore femora with four discoidal and four external spines, laterally smooth; 1<sup>st</sup> discoidal spines shorter than 2<sup>nd</sup>. Both wings well developed, slightly longer than body; hind femora with apical spine. Pronotum little dilated anteriorly keeled in its middle, finely denticulate on its edges, especially in its anterior portion, metazona of the pronotum slightly longer or in similar length to the fore coxae; fore wing long, rather narrow, acuminate, all the anterior part slightly darker, discoidal area of male is hyaline, in female one third the densely veined, the hind wings are adorned with black basal spot, costal area blood-stained, discoidal area transverse venules dark/smoky, anal area dark/smoky, hyaline areas with irregular fenestrae (Giglio-Tos 1912 & 1927).

**Distribution:** India (Uttar Pradesh (new record)).

**Measurement:** Body length 89mm, pronotum 33.32mm; pronotum width 4.92mm; metazona 27.20mm; Fore wing 48.38mm.

**Remark:** First time recorded from India. More detail study and comparison is needed.

***Hierodula coarctata* Saussure, 1869 (Image 15)**

1869. *Hierodula coarctata* Saussure, Mitt. schweiz. ent. Ges. 3:67

1927. *Parahierodula coarctata* Giglio-Tos. Tierreich 50:458 1935. *Hierodula coarctata* Beier. Genera Insect. 203: 84

2010. *Hierodula coarctata* Mukherjee and Ghate, J. Threat. Taxa, 2(9): 1167-1171.

2014. *Hierodula coarctata* Mukherjee et al. Priamus, 30: 30.

2018b. *Hierodula coarctata* Yadav et al., J. Exp. Zool. India Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 745-747.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0023-0025, 1 female, 12.iv.2019, Rohuna (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on light bulb. 2 male, 1 female, 07.ix.2019, Zamania (Ghazipur), on shrub plant, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** The prosternum and mesosternum with red coloured transverse band were found. The supra coxal dilation of pronotum was broader than male and prozonal tubercles on edges were comparatively stronger than the male. The metazona with distinct median carina was there. The forefemur was dark green with dark patches on inner side. The forefemur has black coloured larger spines and discoidal spines also. Wings were mostly similar with male. Male: The body colour of male was green. The head was triangular; eyes were rounded with prominent ocelli. The supra coxal dilation of the pronotum was somewhat wide. The prozona has some finer tubercles. The metazonal carination was not clear. Externally, forefemur was green in colour. Larger spines of forefemur were black in colour. The meso and meta thoracic legs are yellowish green in colour. The forewing was green in colour and veins were green with yellow coloured subcosta. There were yellowish to white colour stigma surrounded by brownish colour patches on the forewing.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh), Nepal, Java, Pakistan, & Australia? (Mukherjee et al. 2014; Yadav et al. 2018).

**Measurement:** Body length 47mm

**Remark:** Species may show gradual changes in their colouration.

#### Subfamily: Mantinae Burmeister, 1838

##### *Mantis religiosa religiosa* Linnaeus, 1758 (Image 16)

1758. *Gryllus (Mantis) religiosus* Linnaeus. Sys. Nat. 10: p.426

1927. *Mantis religiosa* Giglio-Tos, 1927. Das Tierreich, 50: 406.

2009. *Mantis religiosa* Sureshan, Rec. Zool. Sur. India. Occasional Paper No. 305: 30.

2014. *Mantis religiosa religiosa* Mukherjee et al. Priamus, 30: 37.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0026-0027, 1 male, 1 female, 22.viii.2018, Rohuna (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on paddy, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Pronotum a little longer than fore coxa, prosternum has two small tubercles just to the base; shorter when compared to that of *Statilia* Stal, fore coxa internally with a basal black spot which often encloses an oval yellow

spot, fore femora without black spot; a distinct transverse pink line on the vertex, prominent in fresh specimen. Claw groove of femora in the middle; fore wing semi-hyaline and both wings shorter to body.

**Distribution:** India (Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh (new record), & West Bengal), Asia, Africa, Europe, North America, Canada, Jordan, Lebanon, and United Arab Emirates (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 57mm

**Remark:** Species shows aggression when disturbed.

##### *Statilia maculata* (Thunberg, 1784)

1985. *Statilia maculata* Mukherjee & Hazra, Rec. zool. Surv. India, 82(1-4): 34.

1927. *Statilia maculata* Giglio-Tos. Das Tierreich, 50: 410.

2014. *Statilia maculata* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 38

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0028-0030, 2 male, 1 female, 23.VI.2018, Chahaniya (Chandauli), Uttar Pradesh, India, on bushes, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body brownish, pronotum slender, longer than fore coxa, claw groove of fore femora situated above middle, four external and four discoidal spines, inner disc with pale yellow and black patches; tibiae with seven external spines; femora with shining yellow patch, often bordered by a black patch, larger internal spines of femora not entirely black, wings as long as abdomen.

**Distribution:** India (Andaman Island, Andhra Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya, Kerala, Odisha, Sikkim, Uttar Pradesh, & West Bengal) Annam, Borneo, China, Japan, Java, Labuan, Myanmar, Malaysia, Maluku Islands, Nepal, New Guinea, Palawan, Sri Lanka, and Sumatra, (in Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Karlsruhe, Germany (SMNK): Laos, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand, & Vietnam) (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 55mm

**Remark:** Brown coloured species, male & female are more or less same size.

##### *Statilia nemoralis* (Saussure, 1870)

1927. *Statilia nemoralis* Giglio-Tos. Das Tierreich, 50: 411.

2014. *Statilia nemoralis* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 38

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0031-0032, 1 male, 1 female, 23.xi.2017, Rohuna (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on bushes, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body Straw yellow with grass green shade, pronotum a shorter than fore coxa, claw groove of fore



femora situated above middle, with yellow and a black patch in front of it, four external and four discoidal spines, inner disc with pale yellow and black patches; tibiae with seven external spines; prosternum without a black patch posteriorly, wings as long as abdomen.

**Distribution:** India (Arunachal Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Borneo, China, Japan, Java, Korea, Myanmar, Malaysia, Philippines, Sumatra, Taiwan, and Vietnam (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 58mm

**Remark:** Male & female were mostly similar.

#### ***Cheddikulama straminea* Henry, 1932 (Image 17)**

1995. *Cheddikulama straminea* Mukherjee et al., *Oriental Insects* 29(1): 202, 317, 320.

2007. *Cheddikulama straminea* Vyjayandi, (267): IX, 3, 134, 154.

2014. *Cheddikulama straminea* Mukherjee et al., *Priamus*, 30: 45

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0033-0034, 1 male, 1 female, 02.viii.2017, Dehariya (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on logs, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body straw yellow coloured, head pentagonal, flattened; occiput produced into two angular lobes; conical eyes with tubercle, pronotum slender as twice longer than fore coxa; hindlegs much longer than middle legs; wings ornate; forewing with parallel venation; hindwing with purple blotch and concentrically arranged purple patches; cerci short.

**Distribution:** India (Kerala and Uttar Pradesh) and Sri Lanka (Vyjayandi 2007; Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 59mm

**Remark:** Male & female were mostly similar.

#### ***Aethalochroa ashmoliana* (Westwood, 1841) (Image 18)**

1951. *Aethalochroa ashmoliana* Roonwal & Bhasin, *Indian Forester*, 77(5): 313-318.

1904a. *Aethalochroa ashmoliana* Kirby, 1904: *Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.)*, Vol. 1 1: 308

2014. *Aethalochroa ashmoliana* syn. As *Loxomantis indica* Mukherjee et al., *Priamus*, 30: 2, 3, 47.

2015. *Aethalochroa ashmoliana* Majumder et al. *Zool. Surv. India*, 115(4): 382.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0035-0036, 1 male, 23.XI.2017, 1 female, 12.X.2019 Dehariya (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on logs, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body dark in coloured middle and hind tibiae dorsally carinated, eyes prominent with a very small dorsal tubercle, upper edge of vertex concave, fore leg

external spines and eight internal spines, wing is shorter than abdomen, middle and hind femora without genicular spines, cerci foliaceous, longer than wide.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Orissa, West Bengal, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)), Iran, Malaysia, and Sri Lanka.

**Measurement:** Body length 112mm

**Remark:** One of the larger species.

#### ***Toxoderopsis taurus* Wood-mason, 1889**

1927. *Toxoderopsis taurus* Giglio-Tos. *Das Tierreich*, 50: 569.

2009. *Toxoderopsis taurus* Sureshan, *Rec. zool. Surv. India*, Occ. Paper (305): 34.

2014. *Toxoderopsis taurus* Mukherjee et al., *Priamus*, 30: 2, 3, 47.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0037, 1 female, 12.IX.2019, Dehariya (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on logs, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Body black brown in colour, middle and hind tibiae not carinate; eyes with a distinctly projecting spine, median lobe of vertex higher than laterals, hind wings hyaline, middle and hind femora with genicular spines; cerci long, flat, crested at tip.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)) and Pakistan (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 88mm

**Remark:** Sometime confuse with *Aethalochroa ashmoliana*.

#### **Family Empusidae Burmeister, 1838; Subfamily Empusinae Burmeister, 1838**

##### ***Empusa (Empusa) guttula* (Thunberg, 1815) (Image 19)**

1889. *Gongylus guttula* Thunberg, 1815: 5: 294.

2002. *Empusa (Empusa) guttula* Ehrmann, *Mantodea der Welt*: 127.

2009. *Empusa guttula* Sureshan, *Rec. zool. Surv. India*, Occ. Paper (305): 16

2014. *Empusa guttula* Mukherjee et al., *Priamus*, 30: 2, 3, 51.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0038-0039, 1 female, 1 female, 05.viii.2019, Dehariya (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on paddy, coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Fore femora without lobe and superior edge almost straight; middle and hind femora without dorsal lobes, vertex prolonged into a conical protuberance, armed medially and laterally by triangular sharp spines, apex little expanded and biflog with a median carina extended into sharp point; antennae simple in female and pectinate in male; pronotum slender, slightly spined; forewing little



**Image 1.** Observed mantids during field surveys in the province of the Uttar Pradesh: 1—*Euantissa pulchra* | 2 & 3—*Odontomantis montana* nymph & adult | 4—*Creobroter apicalis* | 5—forefemur of *Ephestiasula pictipes* | 6 & 7—ootheca of *Hestiasula brunneriana* & adult | 8—*Humbertiella ceylonica* | 9—*Humbertiella similis* | 10 & 11—*Schizocephala bicornis* | 12—*Amantis saussurei* (nymph) | 13—*Tenodera fasciata* | 14—*Tenodera costalis* | 15—*Hierodula coarctata* | 16—*Mantis religiosa religiosa* | 17—*Cheddikulama straminea* | 18—*Aethalochroa ashmoliana* | 19—*Empusa (empusa) guttula* | 20 & 21—*Empusa spinosa*. © R.S. Yadav

longer than body, stigma with two brown spots at corner, costal area green, opaque.

**Distribution:** India (Andhra Pradesh, Odisha, Rajasthan, & Uttar Pradesh), Algeria, Angola, Cameroon, Egypt, Kenya, Libya, Madagascar, Mauritania, Morocco, Namibia, Senegal, Somalia, Tanzania, Transversal, Tunisia, Gambia, South Africa, and Tanzania (Mukherjee et al. 2014).

**Measurement:** Body length 58mm

**Remark:** Species recorded from the state previously.

***Empusa spinosa* Krauss, 1902 (Image 20 & 21)**

1964. *Empusa spinosa* Gupta, Current Sci. 33: 370.

2002. *Empusa spinosa* Ehrmann, Mantodea der Welt, 130.

2014. *Empusa spinosa* Mukherjee et al., Priamus, 30: 2, 3, 51.

2015. *Empusa spinosa* Majumder et al. Zool. Surv. India, 115(4): 382.

**Materials examined:** RMDCRS 0040-0042, 1 male and 1 female, 15.x.2017, Udharanpur (Ghazipur), Uttar Pradesh, India, on Tectona. 1 female, 05.viii.2019, Dehariya (Ghazipur),

coll. R.S. Yadav.

**Diagnosis:** Longer than *Empusa guttula*, yellowish-green, slender body; vertex of head with a conical protuberance, bifurcated at top; pronotum slender, long with distinct spines, longer than fore coxae; upper edge of fore femur is staright, and simple fore femur (Majumder 2015); wing extended beyond the abdomen; abdominal segments usually expanded laterally.

**Distribution:** India (Chandigarh, Chhattisgarh, & Uttar Pradesh (new record)) (Mukherjee et al. 2014, Majumder et al. 2015)

**Measurement:** Body length 59mm

**Remark:** Very less studied species in the country.

**CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY**

The 21 species of mantids discussed here, 10 species are firstly recorded from Uttar Pradesh and one species first time reported from the country. Further more intensive field work may enrich mantid fauna of the Uttar Pradesh, India.



## REFERENCES

- Blanchard, E. (1853). Description des insectes – Orthopteres, pp. 349–376. In: Jaquinot, M. (ed.), *Voyage au Pole Sud et dans l’Océanie sur les corvettes L’Astrolabe et La Zelee. Zoologie IV (1)*. Trunot & Co., Paris.
- Bolivar, I. (1899). Les Orthoptères de St-Joseph’s College à Trichinopoly (Sud de l’Inde). *Annales de la Société entomologique de France* 68: 761–812, 2pls.
- Chandra, K. (2009). Insecta: Mantodea. Fauna of Bandhavgarh Tiger Reserve (Madhya Pradesh), Zoological Survey of India. *Conservation Area Series* 40: 59–61.
- Chatterjee, P. & T.K. Mukherjee (2013). Mantis indica Mukherjee, 1995: a synonym of *Statilia nemoralis* (Saussure, 1870) (Insecta: Mantodea). *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 5(14): 4907–4909. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o3289.4907-9>
- Chaturvedi N., & V. Hedge (2000). Mantid fauna of Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Mumbai, with some new records for Maharashtra State. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 97: 295–297.
- Ehrmann, R. & R. Roy (2002). Systematische Aufstellung der Gattungen. In: Mantodea: Gottesanbeterinnen der Welt. Natur und Tier-Verlag.
- Ghate, H.V., S. Ranade, R. Kaur & R. Marathe (2001). On *Hestiasula brunneriana* Saussure (insecta: Mantodea) from Pune, Maharashtra. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 98(3): 473–476.
- Ghate, H.V., S.S. Jadhav & R.M. Sharma (2012). Insecta: Mantodea. Fauna of Maharashtra. State Fauna Series, 20(Part-2). Zoological Survey of India, 673pp.
- Giglio-Tos, E. (1912). Mantidi Esotici. *Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana* 43: 3–167.
- Giglio-Tos, E. (1915). Mantidi Esotici genere e specie nuove. *Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana* 46: 31–108.
- Giglio-Tos, E. (1915a). Mantidi esotici. Generi e specie nuove. *Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana* 46: 134–200.
- Giglio-Tos, E. (1917). Mantidi Esotici genera e specie nuove. *Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana* 48: 43–108.
- Giglio-Tos, E. (1927). *Orthoptera Mantidae*. Das Tier Reich 50. Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin and Leipzig, 707pp.
- Gupta, M.L. (1964). Chromosome number and sex chromosome mechanism in fifteen species of the Indian praying mantids. *Current Science* 33(12): 69–370
- Jadhav, S.S. (2008). Some praying mantids of Nasik District, Maharashtra State. *Bionotes* 10(1): 27–28.
- Jadhav, S.S., P.M. Sureshan & H.V. Ghate (2006). Additions to the Mantid Fauna (Insecta: Mantodea) of Pench National Park, Fauna of Protected Areas-28. *Zoos’ Print Journal* 21(5): 2261–2262. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.1474.2261-2>
- Kirby, W.F. (1904). A synonymic catalogue of Orthoptera. *British Museum (Natural History)*, Vol. 1: 571pp.
- Majumder A., A. Raha, T.K. Mukherjee, K. Chandra & G. Srinivasan (1915). Mantis (Insecta: Mantodea) fauna of Chhattisgarh, India. *Records Zoological Survey of India* 115(4): 365–383.
- Mondal, S.K., S. Mukherjee & T.K. Mukherjee (2007). Addition to Mantodea (Insecta) Fauna of Arunachal Pradesh. *Zoos’ Print Journal* 22(6): 2719. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.1666.2719>
- Mukherjee T.K. & A.K. Hazra (2007). Insecta: Mantodea. Zoological Survey of India. *Fauna of Andhra Pradesh. State Fauna Series* 5(3): 223–234.
- Mukherjee, T.K. & A.K. Hazra (1983). On a small collection of Mantidae (Dictyoptera) from Maharashtra, India with the description of a new species. *Records Zoological Survey of India* 80: 459–465.
- Mukherjee, T.K. & A.K. Hazra (1985). New record of a deserticolous Mantid Family (Mantodea: Eremiaphilidae) from India. *Entomon* 10(3): 245–247.
- Mukherjee, T.K. & H.V. Ghate (2010). Redescription of *Hierodula coarctata* Saussure (Mantodea: Mantidae) from Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 2(9): 1167–1171. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2176.1167-71>
- Mukherjee, T.K., A.K. Hazra & J. Balderson (1992). Type specimens of Mantodea in the Zoological Survey of India collections, Calcutta, India. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 40(1): 65–68.
- Mukherjee, T.K., A.K. Hazra & A.K. Ghosh (1995). The mantid fauna of India (Insecta: Mantodea). *Oriental Insects* 29(1): 185–358.
- Mukherjee, T.K., G. Iyer & P. Chatterjee (2017). Twenty-three new records of Mantodea (Insecta) from some states of India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 9(2): 9829–9839. <http://doi.org/10.11609/jott.1936.9.2.9829-9839>
- Mukherjee, T.K. & M.S. Shishodia (1999). Mantodea of Patalkot Chhindwara dist. Madhya Pradesh, India. *Records of Zoological Survey of India* 97(4): 45–48.
- Mukherjee, T.K. & M.S. Shishodia (2000). Insecta: Mantodea. Zoological Survey of the India, Fauna of Renuka Wetland (Himachal Pradesh). *Wetland Ecosystem Series* 2: 63–66.
- Mukherjee, T.K., R. Ehrmann & P. Chatterjee (2014). Checklist of Mantodea (Insecta) from India. *Priamus (Serial Publication of the Centre for Entomological Studies Ankara)* (Suppl.) (30): 1–61.
- Mukherjee, T.K. & A.K. Hazra (1985). New record of a deserticolous Mantid Family (Mantodea: Eremiaphilidae) from India. *Entomon* 10(3): 245–247.
- Nadkerni, N.T. (1965). A note on mantids and tettigonids in the collection of Bombay Natural History Society. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 62(1): 76–83.
- Otte, D., L. Spearman & B.D.S. Martin (2020). *Mantodea Species File Online*. Version 5.0/5.0. [12.iii.2020]. <<http://Mantodea.SpeciesFile.org>>.
- Rao, K.T., H.V. Ghate, M. Sudhakar, S.M.M. Javed & S.R. Krishna (2005). Updated checklist of praying mantid species (Insecta: Mantodea) from Nagarjunsagar-Sri Sailam Tiger Reserve. *Zoos’ Print Journal* 20(6): 1905–1907. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.1311.1905-7>
- Raut, G.A. & S.M. Gaikwad (2017). A new record of *Tenodera fasciata* (Olivier, 1792) (Insecta: Mantodea: Mantidae: Mantinae) for western India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 9(6): 10351–10354. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.2908.9.6.10351-10354>
- Roonwal, M.L. & G.D. Bhasin (1951). Asystematic catalogue of the main identified entomological collections at the Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun. *Indian Forester*, 77(5): 313–318.
- Sureshan P.M., T. Samanta & C. Radhakrishnan (2006). Mantid (Insecta: Mantodea) fauna of Orissa with some new records for the state. *Zoo’s Print Journal* 22(1): 2539–2543. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.ZPJ.1524.2539-43>
- Sureshan, P.M. (2009). A preliminary study on the mantid fauna (Insecta: Mantodea) of Orissa, India. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper No.* 305: 1–56.
- Sureshan, P.M. & S. Sambath (2009). Mantid (Insecta: Mantodea) fauna of old Bihar (Bihar and Jharkhand) with some new records for the state. *Records Zoological Survey of India* 109(3): 11–26.
- Vyjayandi, M.C. (2007). Mantid fauna of Kerala, *Zoological Survey of India, Occasional Paper* 267: 1–69.
- Vyjayandi, M.C., R.S. Rajeeesh, P.S. John & M.M. Dhanasree (2010). On a collection of praying mantids (Insecta: Mantodea) from Goa, India, with new distribution records. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 2(12): 1325–1329. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2188.1325-9>
- Werner, F. (1931). Further notes on Indian Mantids or praying insects. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 4: 1329–1334.
- Werner, F. (1933). Third contribution to the knowledge of Indian mantis or praying insects. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 897–901pp.
- Werner, F. (1935). Further communication on Indian mantis or praying insects. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 495–498pp.
- Wood-Mason, J. (1891). A Catalogue of the Mantodea, with descriptions of new genera and species, and enumeration of the specimens, in the collection of the Indian Museum, Calcutta. *Indian Museum, Calcutta* (2): 49–66.
- Wood-Mason, J. (1889). A Catalogue of the Mantodea, with descriptions of new genera and species and an enumeration of the specimens in the collection of the Indian Museum. *Indian Museum, Calcutta* (1): 1–48.
- Yadav, R.S. (2017). *Legends of Indian Entomology*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, GmbH, Germany, 66pp.
- Yadav, R.S., D. Kerketta, G.P. Painkra & D. Kumar (2018). First record of *Hierodula coarctata* Saussure (Mantidae: Paramantinae) from Bihar and Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Experimental Zoology India* 21(2): 745–747.



www.threatenedtaxa.org

OPEN ACCESS



The Journal of Threatened Taxa (JoTT) is dedicated to building evidence for conservation globally by publishing peer-reviewed articles online every month at a reasonably rapid rate at [www.threatenedtaxa.org](http://www.threatenedtaxa.org). All articles published in JoTT are registered under [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) unless otherwise mentioned. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of articles in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

May 2021 | Vol. 13 | No. 6 | Pages: 18411–18678

Date of Publication: 26 May 2021 (Online & Print)

DOI: 10.11609/jott.2021.13.6.18411-18678

#### Conservation Application

##### First attempt at rehabilitation of Asiatic Black Bear cubs to the wild in Thailand

– Robert Steinmetz, Worrapan Phumane, Rungnapa Phoonjampa & Suthon Weingdow, Pp. 18411–18418

#### Communications

##### Status of Sumatran Tiger in the Berbak-Sembilang landscape (2020)

– Tomi Ariyanto, Yon Dinata, Dwiyanto, Erwan Turyanto, Waluyo Sugito, Sophie Kirkin & Rajan Amin, Pp. 18419–18426

##### The diversity of small mammals in Pulau Perhentian Kecil, Terengganu, Malaysia

– Aminuddin Baqi, Isham Azhar, Ean Wee Chen, Faisal Ali Anwarali Khan, Chong Ju Lian, Bryan Raveen Nelson & Jayaraj Vijaya Kumaran, Pp. 18427–18440

##### Patterns, perceptions, and spatial distribution of human-elephant (*Elephas maximus*) incidents in Nepal

– Raj Kumar Koirala, Weihong Ji, Yajna Prasad Timilsina & David Raubenheimer, Pp. 18441–18452

##### Assessing spatio-temporal patterns of human-leopard interactions based on media reports in northwestern India

– Kaushal Chauhan, Arjun Srivathsa & Vidya Athreya, Pp. 18453–18478

##### Bat diversity in the Banpale forest, Pokhara, Nepal during spring season

– Prabhat Kiran Bhattarai, Basant Sharma, Anisha Neupane, Sunita Kunwar & Pratyush Dhungana, Pp. 18479–18489

##### A patho-microbiological study of tissue samples of the Greater Adjutant *Leptoptilos dubius* (Aves: Ciconiiformes: Ciconiidae) that died in Deeporbeel Wildlife Sanctuary, Assam, India

– Derhasar Brahma, Parikshit Kakati, Sophia M. Gogoi, Sharmita Doley, Arpita Bharali, Biswajit Dutta, Taibur Rahman, Saidul Islam, Arfan Ali, Siraj A. Khan, Sailendra Kumar Das & Nagendra Nath Barman, Pp. 18490–18496

##### Vaduvur and Sitheri lakes, Tamil Nadu, India: conservation and management perspective

– V. Gokula & P. Ananth Raj, Pp. 18497–18507

##### A new species of shieldtail snake (Squamata: Uropeltidae: Uropeltis) from the Bengaluru uplands, India

– S.R. Ganesh, K.G. Punith, Omkar D. Adhikari & N.S. Achyuthan, Pp. 18508–18517

##### A looming exotic reptile pet trade in India: patterns and knowledge gaps

– A. Pragatheesh, V. Deepak, H.V. Girisha & Monesh Singh Tomar, Pp. 18518–18531

##### Legal or unenforceable? Violations of trade regulations and the case of the Philippine Sailfin Lizard *Hydrosaurus pustulatus* (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae)

– Sarah Heinrich, Adam Toomes & Jordi Janssen, Pp. 18532–18543

##### Conservation breeding of Northern River Terrapin *Batagur baska* (Gray, 1830) in Sundarban Tiger Reserve, India

– Nilanjan Mallick, Shailendra Singh, Dibyadeep Chatterjee & Souritra Sharma, Pp. 18544–18550

##### Discovery of two new populations of the rare endemic freshwater crab *Louisea yabassi* Mvogo Ndongo, von Rintelen & Cumberlidge, 2019 (Brachyura: Potamonautidae) from the Ebo Forest near Yabassi in Cameroon, Central Africa, with recommendations for conservation action

– Pierre A. Mvogo Ndongo, Thomas von Rintelen, Christoph D. Schubart, Paul F. Clark, Kristina von Rintelen, Alain Didier Missouf, Christian Albrecht, Muriel Rabone, Efole Ewoukem, Joseph L. Tamesse, Minette Tomedi-Tabi Eyango & Neil Cumberlidge, Pp. 18551–18558

##### Checklists of subfamilies Dryptinae and Panagaeinae (Insecta: Coleoptera: Carabidae) from the Indian subcontinent

– V.A. Jithmon & Thomas K. Sabu, Pp. 18559–18577

##### Mantids (Insecta: Mantodea) of Uttar Pradesh, India

– Ramesh Singh Yadav & G.P. Painkra, Pp. 18578–18587

##### An assessment of genetic variation in vulnerable Borneo Ironwood *Eusideroxylon zwageri* Teijsm. & Binn. in Sarawak using SSR markers

– Siti Fatimah Md.-Isa, Christina Seok Yien Yong, Mohd Nazre Saleh & Rusea Go, Pp. 18588–18597

#### Review

##### Termites (Blattodea: Isoptera) of southern India: current knowledge on distribution and systematic checklist

– M. Ranjith & C.M. Kalleshwaraswamy, Pp. 18598–18613

#### Short Communications

##### Population status and distribution of Ibisbill *Ibidorhyncha struthersii* (Vigors, 1832) (Aves: Charadriiformes: Ibidorhynchidae) in Kashmir Valley, India

– Iqram Ul Haq, Bilal A. Bhat, Khurshed Ahmad & Asad R. Rahmani, Pp. 18614–18617

##### A new fish species of genus *Garra* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from Nagaland, India

– Sophiya Ezung, Bungdon Shangningam & Pranay Punj Pankaj, Pp. 18618–18623

##### Occurrence of Tamdil Leaf-litter Frog *Leptobrachella tamdil* (Sengupta et al., 2010) (Amphibia: Megophryidae) from Manipur, India and its phylogenetic position

– Ht. Decemson, Vanlalsiammawii, Lal Biakzuala, Mathipi Vabeiryureilai, Fanai Malsawmdawngliana & H.T. Lalremsanga, Pp. 18624–18630

##### Further additions to the Odonata (Insecta) fauna of Asansol-Durgapur Industrial Area, Paschim Bardhaman, India

– Amar Kumar Nayak & Subhajit Roy, Pp. 18631–18641

##### A note on the ecology and distribution of Little Bloodtail *Lyriothemis acigastra* Brauer, 1868 (Insecta: Odonata: Libellulidae) in Kerala, India

– Jeevan Jose, Muhamed Sherif & A. Vivek Chandran, Pp. 18642–18646

#### Viewpoint

##### A unique archetype of conservation in Himachal Pradesh, western Himalaya, India

– Rupali Sharma, Monika Sharma, Manisha Mathela, Himanshu Bargali & Amit Kumar, Pp. 18647–18650

#### Notes

##### A camera trap record of Asiatic Golden Cat *Catopuma temminckii* (Vigors & Horsfield, 1827) (Mammalia: Carnivora: Felidae) in State Land Forest, Merapoh, Pahang, Malaysia

– Muhamad Hamirul Shah Ab Razak, Kamarul Hambali, Aainaa Amir, Norashikin Fauzi, Nor Hizami Hassin, Muhamad Azahar Abas, Muhammad Firdaus Abdul Karim, Ai Yin Sow, Lukman Ismail, Nur Azmin Huda Mahamad Shubli, Nurul Izzati Adanan, Ainur Izzati Bakar, Nabihah Mohamad, Nur Izyan Fathiah Saimhe, Muhammad Syafiq Mohamad Nor, Muhammad Izzat Hakimi Mat Nafi & Syafiq Sulaiman, Pp. 18651–18654

##### Reappearance of Dhole *Cuon alpinus* (Mammalia: Carnivora: Canidae) in Gujarat after 70 years

– A.A. Kazi, D.N. Rabari, M.I. Dahya & S. Lyngdoh, Pp. 18655–18659

##### Mating behavior of Eastern Spotted Skunk *Spilogale putorius* Linnaeus, 1758 (Mammalia: Carnivora: Mephitidae) revealed by camera trap in Texas, USA

– Alexandra C. Avrin, Charles E. Pekins & Maximillian L. Allen, Pp. 18660–18662

##### Record of Indian Roofed Turtle *Pangshura tecta* (Reptilia: Testudines: Geoemydidae) from Koshi Tappu Wildlife Reserve, Nepal

– Ashmita Shrestha, Ramesh Prasad Sapkota & Kumar Paudel, Pp. 18663–18666

##### Additional distribution records of *Zimiris doriae* Simon, 1882 (Araneae: Gnaphosidae) from India

– Dhruv A. Prajapati, Pp. 18667–18670

##### Notes on new distribution records of *Euspa motokii* Koivaya, 2002 (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae: Theclinae) from Bhutan

– Jigme Wangchuk, Dhan Bahadur Subba & Karma Wangdi, Pp. 18671–18674

##### New distribution records of two little known plant species, *Hedychium longipedunculatum* A.R.K. Sastry & D.M. Verma (Zingiberaceae) and *Mazus dentatus* Wall. ex Benth. (Scrophulariaceae), from Meghalaya, India

– M. Murugesan, Pp. 18675–18678

Publisher & Host



Member

