

Guidelines to Reviewers

The manuscripts we receive come from authors with varied backgrounds and nationalities with different levels of skills in English language, vocabulary, technical presentation, style, and experience. We request the subject editors and reviewers to maintain confidentiality unless there is a specific need to consult someone for technical clarification. In case you are unable to review or edit the manuscript, please let the editorial office know about it along with a suggestion on whom to contact and we will reassign.

An important objective of **JoTT** is to publish peer-reviewed articles rapidly. However, we do not intend to compromise on the review process or quality of the articles. Reviewers are requested to provide their comments within a month from the date of receiving the manuscript from **JoTT** office. Please provide specific comments to authors on the following to improve the text unless it is outright rejected:

1. Originality of the article
2. Technical clarity
3. Organisation
4. Presentation style
5. Language
6. Comprehensibility for interdisciplinary readership

We encourage reviewers to make their comments and corrections/suggestions/modifications to the articles on WORD using Track Change option for editing. Please note the format for submission which is slightly different for **JoTT** and ensure that your comments fit the format needs.

Since articles from authors in countries with less proficiency in English are expected, we encourage the reviewers to be accommodating and encouraging if the language or style of presentation is not up to the mark. In case the text is very poorly written, please reply by return mail to the **JoTT** office requesting for a rewritten version. In case you detect technical difficulties along with poor language, but the content is valid and important, please say so and the **JoTT** office will follow up with the authors.

In case you are unable to review and send your comments within a month, please let the office know about an extension. If you feel there is a specific authority or reviewer who should comment on the article, please provide the name and working email id to the **JoTT** office and we will follow up.

If you wish to remain anonymous, please let us know and we'll ensure that while communicating to authors. We also request you provide objective comments based on the content of the article and refrain from giving comments on the author.

The Subject Editor in charge of the article may contact the reviewers independently to address some comments. While doing so, please ensure that a copy of the mail is copied to the **JoTT** office so that we are aware of the happenings and follow up from our end if need be.

Lastly, we are open to suggestions for improving the quality of the journal from editors and reviewers and request you to write to us regarding the same. However, please do not take it amiss if all your suggestions are not taken up immediately or to your satisfaction as the scope of the journal is very broad and the impetus is in its all encompassing objectives for working towards conservation and taxonomy of wild flora, fauna and fungi.